COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR MEDICAID SERVICES

IN RE: PRIMARY CARE TAC SPECIAL MEETING

September 25, 2019
10:00 A.M.
Cabinet for Health & Family Services
Cafeteria Conference Room
275 East Main Street
Frankfort, Kentucky

APPEARANCES

Chris Keyser PRESIDING

Yvonne Agan Barry Martin Promod Bishnoi Raynor Mullins TAC MEMBER PRESENT

Mary Elam Noel Harilson Teresa Cooper Edward Conners KENTUCKY PRIMARY CARE ASSOCIATION

CAPITAL CITY COURT REPORTING

TERRI H. PELOSI, COURT REPORTER 900 CHESTNUT DRIVE FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 (502) 223-1118

APPEARANCES (Continued)

Carol Steckel
Sharley Hughes
David Gray
Lee Guice
MEDICAID SERVICES

Pat Russell WELLCARE

Sammie Asher AETNA BETTER HEALTH

Teresa Dotson L.M. Caudill MCHC

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Establishment of Quorum
- 3. Review and Approval of July, 2019 meeting transcripts and minutes
- 4. OLD BUSINESS
 - A. Report on wrap/crossover claims cleanup July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2018
 - a. Action items for final resolution of claims for the given time period
 - B. Update on request for 30-day window to submit the FQHC/RHC documentation with regards to HB 444
 - C. UB Modifier is not working as intended
- 5. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. KY Open Meeting Law presentation
 - B. Questions regarding DMS response to PCTAC July recommendations provided to TAC on 8/23/19
 - C. Moving the location of future PCTAC meetings and setting 2020 dates
 - D. Copay issue with pregnant women patient must report to DCBS that they are pregnant causing billing issues with copay not showing correctly
 - E. Adding GO511 to the DMS fee schedule
 - F. PCTAC representation for MAC meeting 9/26/19
 - G. Updates or announcements from the MCOs
- 6. Adjournment

MS. KEYSER: Good morning, everyone. It is 10:00. This is the Primary Care Technical Advisory Committee. I would like to call this meeting to order.

 $\label{eq:weaklished} \text{We have established that we} \\ \text{have a quorum as all members of the committee are} \\ \text{present.}$

The committee members have received a copy of the transcribed minutes from the July 29th meeting. Is there any discussion on the minutes?

MS. AGAN: When I was reading the minutes, I noticed that we make reference in the minutes to the letter that you read during the meeting from Commissioner Steckel and that it should be attached to the minutes, but when the minutes were sent out, that letter was not present.

So, if we could entertain the idea of a motion that the minutes be accepted as long as that letter is attached.

MS. HUGHES: I'm sorry. That was probably my fault. Terri sent me those with a note that said don't forget. They will be on the website, yes, with the attachments.

MS. KEYSER: So, we have a

1 motion to approve the minutes pending with the 2 attachment of the Commissioner Steckel letter that 3 was read. Is there a second? 4 MR. MARTIN: Second. 5 MS. KEYSER: Second. Okay. 6 Any further questions or discussion on the minutes? 7 All right, then, all those in favor of approving the 8 minutes with the appending attachment, say aye. 9 Those opposed, like sign. The minutes stand as 10 approved. Moving on to Old Business, our 11 12 first item is a report from DMS on the wrap/crossover 13 claims cleanup from July 1st, 2014 to June 30th. 14 Sharley, are you going to----MS. HUGHES: No. You don't 15 16 want me to do it. 17 MS. KEYSER: Thank you, Commissioner. 18 19 Thank you very MS. STECKEL: 20 We know that this has been an issue, one that 21 I recognize and appreciate you all recognizing that 22 we inherited this Administration and we do know we 23 have to deal with this and get it resolved. 24 So, in an effort to do that,

Sharley and I have handed out what we would like to

put on the table as a proposal. You will notice it says Draft on it. This is not a done deal, but what we'd like you all to do is to take it back, look at it and very quickly provide us with your comments good, bad and indifferent.

But what I did, and you will see this is a memo that I prepared for the Secretary, so, it has background information. The reason I kept it on there is I wanted to make sure that my understanding and our understanding of what the issue is marries with your understanding of what the issue is.

So, that's why you will see the Background, the Current Process, Current Process Third-Party Liability and the Crossover Claims and the Overview of the Pilot Program.

Those are all things that we have been working on that I would just like you all to make sure that what I've said here is your understanding also so that we discuss this starting on the same page.

But, then, starting - and I didn't paginate these - but under the Proposed Resolution, that's what we would propose doing to resolve this issue.

And we did look at three or four different options, but the first one is using the form, that we would probably modify the form that came in the Tolling Agreement, the original Tolling Agreement but probably not much, but it's a form that we all agree on.

The provider will submit the claims data for the unreconciled encounters for the period July 1 through present.

And, then, the claims data submitted will be associated with those encounters and we'll marry those and that will help us reconcile where reimbursements are owed or not owed, and we will do that match with DXC.

If there's no wrap on record, you will see the process we'll go through. If a claim shows a wrap payment, then, there will be no further action.

Now, one of the things that we identified in this process with the pilot program review is that there are some of your members that are relying in total on the information that they get from their clearinghouses.

They can't do that. There's work that they have to do to reconcile their claims

and we're going to hold them accountable to that. We're not going to do your job for you, and I mean that exactly as offensively as it sounds.

So, we're going to push back when it looks as if the health plan is not doing the appropriate reconciliations with their EOBs and they're relying on their clearinghouse; but given that, this is the proposal that we'd like to put on the table and move forward with as our reconciliation.

And I know we just dumped it on you today, so, I'm not asking you do you approve it, not approve it, but we would like to discuss this with you all in more detail, if you have any questions, any issues.

And, again, the first part of the memo, are we understanding the situation the way you all are understanding it so that we're all on the same page? Any questions?

MS. KEYSER: Committee? No. I think at the moment, all we're going to be able to do is to take it back, process it, see what's here, Commissioner, and, then, look at what questions that may arise because, as I said, right now you recognize that we just got this.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL:

Absolutely, but we wanted to make sure you got it today so that we could hopefully move forward with getting comments back and start that process but we're not expecting you to come to an answer today.

MS. KEYSER: Noel, did you have

a comment?

MR. HARILSON: I was just going to say our next TAC is scheduled for November 7th.

So, it is on the calendar for November 7th. So, barring any change between now and then of a response, then, that might be a time to bring this back and bring our response back for a discussion, but we can always communicate outside of the TAC as well, not as a committee but to further it along even from KPCA's perspective in representation of.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL:

Exactly, yes, and we'll track where the comments are coming from as the official documentation, but I would like to be working on this between now and November 7th so that on November 7th, we can close the gap of where there might be issues or not, if we can.

MR. MARTIN: I don't see a problem with us giving this to the pilot program and

letting them review it.

MS. KEYSER: No. I mean, I think we should be able to share this again with all parties involved to let them understand. I think just quickly as one of the pilots, it certainly represents what our participation was, absolutely.

I think the bigger thing is that what is being proposed that we need to kind of delve into, amount of effort, is there another way around. I don't know.

So, I think we need more time; but as Noel said, we'll look at this, respond,

Commissioner, as far as coming back with something.

We may have some more questions, etcetera, etcetera,

using the KPCA as our arm in this.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Perfect. Now, you say another way to do it. That won't be debated.

What we'd like you to do is to look at our proposal, why would it work, why wouldn't it work and what amendments would you suggest to make it work, not come up with an entirely new proposal at large. That's not going to be something we're going to entertain.

MR. MARTIN: I think we all are

in agreement there needs to be some format that we submit what we feel and what we can show that has not been paid and that's what you're asking for. COMMISSIONER STECKEL: right. Exactly. MR. MARTIN: I think the processing and the accountability are the things that we need to talk about because I think we've always been willing to be accountable for what we submit and

show if it's not being paid or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Sure.

Right,

MR. MARTIN: It's just the willingness of the MCOs and Medicaid to interchange that information and double check it. I think that's the process that we want to make sure that we're all in agreement.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Sure, and absolutely. I guess what I'm saying is what I want us to do is to work on this proposal. So, what should this form look like? What should the process that we've identified here, is there something that needs to be added, deleted? Don't take this out and put in a whole new proposal. That's something we don't want to entertain.

MR. MARTIN: And all the

conversations that we've been involved in, this is the same process.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER STECKEL:} \quad \mbox{It's}$ pretty close to it, yes.

MS. KEYSER: No, but I think the committee would agree is that there is a lot of information out there, and whether it comes from our claims data, the MCO claim data, DMS' claim data and it's reviewing all of that to come to a conclusion that we are either owed money or we're not. And I think for us, that's where the work comes in for us and how we are going to figure that part out.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ MARTIN: The first thing is agreeing on a format.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Pardon?

MR. MARTIN: Agreeing to the

format because we don't want to have to put together

a lot of information that is unnecessary.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And we don't want to receive a lot of information that's unnecessary, but do understand our going in is that we recognize, and I hate to be ugly about it, but if need be, we'll start calling out people, that a lot of your members are not reconciling their EOBs like they should. They're relying on their clearinghouse

1 which is not reconciling their EOBs. So, we are not 2 going to do their work for them. We're not. 3 MS. AGAN: Can you give an example of what you mean by that? 4 5 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So, 6 they'll say that - and I wish I had Jacob here 7 because he could say it very specifically - but they 8 will say that they're owed an encounter, they're owed 9 a wrap payment. And, then, when we work with them, 10 if they had reconciled their EOB to their claims to what they got paid, they would have found out that 11 12 they did get a wrap. 13 MS. AGAN: So, you're referring 14 to Medicaid's EOB, not the MCO's EOB. COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Correct. 15 16 MS. AGAN: Okay. And not 17 having read this, does this make a long-term proposal of how to go forward so we don't end up back in this 18 19 situation? Is that included in this document? 20 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 21 probably is not, but our long-term goal moving 22 forward is we're working with CMS on a floor of the 23 PPS rate in managed care. That's our intent. 24 MS. AGAN: Okay. And just one

final thing and it's probably not important because

1 this is a draft, but when you listed the clinics that 2 participated in the pilot, Family Health Center out 3 of Louisville also participated. Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 5 And those are the kind of things that I just want to 6 make sure that when we do the background information 7 because literally I wrote this and this is how I 8 So, I had to put it down. think. 9 So, please do not hesitate to 10 say this isn't your understanding of the situation we're in so that we're all on the same page on 11 12 background, current process, third-party liability, 13 crossovers and, then, the pilot program review. tell me again the name. 14 15 MS. HUGHES: Is that Family 16 Health Care? 17 MS. AGAN: It says Family Health Care. So, I wasn't sure if that was someone 18 19 else. 20 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: What 21 should that name be? 22 MS. AGAN: It should be Family 23 Health Centers. 24 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Okay. 25 And, again, that's because I wrote it. Okay. We'll

1 make that change but that's what that process is. 2 And, then, on the proposal, again, what can we do to make this resolution work for both of our 3 organizations, with the caveat I've already given 4 5 twice? 6 And, then, the long-term 7 solution is having the floor be the PPS rate for 8 managed care. And, then, if they want to pay you all more, that's their business but they can't pay you 9 10 less and we get out of the wrap payment business altogether. 11 12 MS. KEYSER: Committee, any 13 other questions for the Commissioner? Then, Teresa, 14 did you have any comments from the KPCA? MS. COOPER: 15 No. 16 MS. KEYSER: Not today? Okay. 17 Great. 18 MS. HUGHES: Can I make just 19 one suggestion? 20 MS. KEYSER: Yes, Sharley. 21 MS. HUGHES: You had mentioned 22 sending it out to the other members. Could Noel send 23 that out? I'm trying to get around the open meeting

stuff. So, if he sends it out as a KPCA and not even

mention the TAC and, then, have all the comments come

24

1 back to you and then you can get them, that kind of 2 gets the TAC----3 MR. HARILSON: Sure. And I think we talked about that a little bit where we 4 5 would assume any communication back and forth between 6 your office, Commissioner, if we decide to have any 7 back-and-forth prior to November 7th because I think 8 everybody would be under the preference to work on this a lot faster. 9 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 10 That. would be mine, too, and if you will just direct 11 12 everything either to Sharley or to me. 13 MR. HARILSON: Sure. 14 MS. HUGHES: I'll be glad to send this to you electronically. 15 16 MR. HARILSON: That would be 17 great. Thank you very much. MS. KEYSER: Okay. Thank you 18 19 very much. 20 Moving on to Item B, the update 21 on the request for a thirty-day window to submit 22 FQHC/RHC documentation with regards to House Bill 23 444. Noel. 24 MR. HARILSON: So, all we're

really looking for is some possible closure on this.

I know that I have been in contact with Kate Hackett since May just kind of back and forth about this request.

In July, she had let me know that the Department was going to be meeting with the CMS liaison and this would be a topic that she was going to ask about and that was going to be on or around August 26th was that meeting and we haven't heard anything.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And we have gotten word back and CMS' opinion is there's no need for an extension. So, there won't be an extension granted. Their position is that you all get your documentation in time to submit it to CMS.

The one thing they did say, though, is if somebody isn't getting their documentation in a timely manner, to reach out to your CMS liaison and that would be the area to go to to fix it versus us giving a thirty-day.

MR. HARILSON: A thirty-day was an arbitrary number. It could have been ten days.

ten or fifteen. Right, right, but, no, we won't be doing that.

MR. HARILSON: That's fine. It

Sure, or

COMMISSIONER STECKEL:

1	was just a thought and an idea that we had to solve
2	theI don't know how many times it actually happens
3	but it was
4	MS. KEYSER: Right. So, I've
5	got one coming up. So, my FQHC grant expires
6	February 28th of 2020. So, if I don't get that
7	Notice of Grant award - we've just submitted our SAC
8	application - if I don't get it before then
9	MR. HARILSON: The letter.
10	MS. KEYSER: The letter, the
11	documentation that's required for me to send to DMS,
12	then, come March 1st, the DMS system turns off my
13	PPS, my reimbursement thing if I don't get it
14	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Correct,
15	and CMS is telling us
16	MS. KEYSER:through no
17	fault of my own if I don't get it.
18	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: But CMS
19	is telling us that you get that in time and that
20	there is no reason for Medicaid to extend the window.
21	MS. KEYSER: But if I don't get
22	it in time, then, I'm supposed to contact
23	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: The CMS
24	liaison.

MS. KEYSER: CMS, not HRSA who

gives me the Notice of Grant award. 1 2 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: That is 3 what we were told by our CMS folks. To me, this is an issue that you all have with HRSA, not with 4 5 Medicaid but----6 MS. KEYSER: Well, I would say 7 I don't disagree with you but as that's my proof to 8 Medicaid, yeah. 9 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: I know 10 you're caught in a Catch-22 but CMS is saying there's no need to extend it and we don't want to get in the 11 12 habit of starting to extend where--I mean, we know 13 you're going to renew but what if somebody doesn't 14 renew? We extend it, we pay claims in a period of time that they're not allowed, then we get a 15 16 recoupment effort. 17 MR. HARILSON: Well, to the same vain, when they do drop off which you've had 18 19 happen before----20 MS. KEYSER: Yes, Noel. 21 MR. HARILSON: ----then, it's the same process of the administrative burden there 22 23 as well. 24 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Ι 25 understand.

MR. HARILSON: But we see it both ways. I mean, it was a request for a possible resolution to the clinics falling off because of something that they don't really have control over but we appreciate the response.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Well, we would be glad to meet with HRSA with you or for you all to say that this is a concern for Medicaid also but it's a HRSA issue, not a Medicaid issue.

MS. AGAN: What happens when we do send it in and it doesn't get processed in a timely manner? That's what has happened in our case. We sent it in in time but it didn't get processed. The Enrollment Department or whoever is responsible for that didn't load it in a timely manner.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: That's our fault and we will make the correction. I don't know if I've brought her here but Genevieve Brown, have you all met Genevieve?

MS. HUGHES: I can send her a message.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Yes, ask her if she can come down. I have a new Chief of Staff, Genevieve Brown, and she is an attorney out of Lexington, worked on Medicaid and Medicare on the

federal level, but she is actually the Acting
Director of Program Integrity also which includes our
Member Enrollment and Provider Enrollment. And, so,
she is actually helping us realign and improve those
services.

What I would like you all to do is when you run into those problems is reach out to her, and it's genevieve.brown@ky.gov. We'll see if she can come down so you can put a face to a name, but the Provider Enrollment issues, if you come into that kind of a situation, we will own it. We will fix it. We're hoping that the work that she is doing with the staff that we will improve that, and the provider portal should improve that also.

MS. KEYSER: Right. That's what I was going to say. There's an electronic mechanism now.

So, if I was to submit my documentation on the 28th - let's say I just got it - and that was the soonest I could upload it into all system, what is the expectation for it to get approved and it to be just kind of seamless or is it going to take a couple of days, and, so, then, automatically the system will kick me out on March 1st as being eligible?

is my understanding of it which may not be the truth, but my understanding is the reason we do provider portal is to have it electronic instead of a human having to look to see did you submit that specific form, that the system, once that form is in, will push it forward.

MS. KEYSER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Now,
let's put that on the list to check with Genevieve
and make sure when they submit the HRSA forms that it
automatically moves it forward through the process,
but my understanding is that's the whole intent of
the provider portal is that you nor I have to do
anything beyond the initial, meaning our departments,
or organizations, but let us check on that and make
sure that's the case.

MS. KEYSER: Thank you. Any further discussion on this item?

All right. Then, we'll move on to the UB modifier. It's still not working as intended. And in looking at communication that we had, we did send a followup email to DMS which was asked of us from the July meeting. Noel, is that correct?

1 MR. HARILSON: Yes. 2 MS. KEYSER: And we're still 3 kind of stuck on it's not working. And I noticed that it was mentioned, Commissioner, in this draft, 4 5 the UB modifier under claims billing as well. So, we 6 just wanted to know if----7 MS. GUICE: We're talking about 8 the UB modifier 39. Is that it? I'm just 9 forgetting. 10 MS. KEYSER: Mary? MS. ELAM: UB on non-face-to-11 12 face encounters like chronic care management. 13 MS. GUICE: Right. So, we got 14 an email that asked for a few codes to be moved that we were paying the wrap on that were not correct. 15 16 And, then, we got another email that said a few more 17 codes and, then, Charles and I had a long discussion about whether - and I thought it was 39 - I have no 18 19 idea what it is - just if you put this modifier with 20 the claim, whatever it is, let's pretend it's 39, 21 that the system would not pay a wrap on it. 22 system would understand that. 23 MS. ELAM: Right. 24 MS. GUICE: And you're telling

me it's not currently working?

MS. ELAM: It's not on some of those codes, yes.

MS. KEYSER: It's working-well, it's not working. We put the modifier on the
visit code. The 99211 is the nursing visit. It is
just that. It's not a face-to-face encounter. It's
a nursing visit.

And, then, under that 99211 we would put a CPT code, and it seems to be that those CPT codes are not getting connected to the UB modifier. Mary, am I saying that correctly?

MS. ELAM: You are. That possibly isn't the best example. I mean, what I have seen more often is like a 99490 for chronic care management that they would not have received a PPS rate on is not always going through and working correctly.

MS. GUICE: Okay. Can you send me a specific example claim to look at so we can research? It might be that we haven't gotten in the - when I say gotten in - that DXC hasn't completely implemented all of the change requests that we sent because we did take a little while, Charles and I took a little while to discuss whether or not doing a sweeping, if you use this one modifier, does that

1 work and, then, we don't have to be concerned about 2 trying to put in several different change orders when 3 codes keep coming in because, as we all know, codes change all the time, well, once a year. 4 5 So, if we can, we would like to 6 have one solution instead of several different ones 7 throughout the year because that takes a lot of time 8 to get implemented. So, we tried to put in the one 9 solution with whatever the UB 1 modifier is that I 10 think of as 39 or whatever it is. I have no idea 11 12 since that doesn't ring a bell for you. 13 MS. ELAM: It's actually UB. 14 MS. GUICE: It is UB? MS. RUSSELL: UB is the actual 15 16 modifier. 17 MS. ELAM: Yes, the actual modifier. 18 19 MS. GUICE: Okay. There you 20 go. 21 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 22 would it help if, Mary, you and Lee, the three of you 23 got together with you representing the group at large

24

25

through.

Is it something that just hasn't gotten

with some specific examples so, then, they can walk

1 through the system? 2 MS. GUICE: Right. So, that's 3 what I'm saying. If you could just show me a couple of places so that we can double check. Did we not 4 5 put the change order in with enough detail or----6 MS. ELAM: To capture that. 7 MS. GUICE: Yeah, or that it's 8 not it's not implemented. 9 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So, if 10 you----11 MS. KEYSER: I'm sorry, 12 Commissioner. Excuse me. I do hate to interject but 13 we gave examples on this email. And, so, Mary, while 14 you are focused right now on the case management, those of us that don't bill for case management, what 15 16 we do bill for at a nursing visit are the administrative codes for the vaccinations. 17 18 And that is I would say the 19 bulk of where we're running into these problems is 20 that we're getting paid for the wrap. The MCO pays 21 us the administration fee and then it gets processed 22 through and then we get a wrap payment for that 99211 23 visit. 24 So, there were examples in that

25

email that we sent.

1	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Where
2	did that email go?
3	
	MR. HARILSON: To Sharley on
4	July 22nd.
5	MS. KEYSER: To Sharley on July
6	22nd.
7	MS. HUGHES: I'm going to have
8	to look and see.
9	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Okay.
10	So, that's the missing link, that email. Then,
11	Sharley will send that email to Lee. Lee will do the
12	research, ask any questions of Mary and, then, report
13	back to the TAC.
14	MR. HARILSON: And on that
15	email, you will also find the thirty-day. So, you
16	can ignore that piece because that was in response
17	to, Commissioner, your letter to the committee in
18	July. And in that letter on a few of those agenda
19	items, it said can you please provide further
20	information.
21	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Sure.
22	Sure.
23	MR. HARILSON: And, then, on
24	July 22nd, we provided that further information. And
25	for some reason, Sharley, it didn't come through or

1 you can't find it. I'm happy to re-send it.
2 MS. HUGHES: I'm sure it's

there. And I think if I got it, I may have forwarded.

MR. HARILSON: But we had a spreadsheet with examples and, then, additional examples with actual ICN numbers and things like that for you to be able to look up.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So, we'll be sure Lee has it. Lee and Charles will look at it, get with Mary if they have any questions and, then, we will report back on November 7th.

MS. COOPER: Ms. Keyser?

MS. KEYSER: Yes, Teresa.

MS. COOPER: The change order

that Ms. Guice was referring to, they had written it in such a way that the UB modifier could be appended to any code a facility wanted to pay zero. So, it would eliminate the listing of codes. Mary happened to send the codes just to clarify because the codes do change. They can change up to four times a year.

MS. KEYSER: So, again, let me say that back to you. So, if we, our billing, put the UB modifier on not just the E&M code, the 99211, but we would have to do it on the CPT codes as well?

1	MS. COOPER: On anything that
2	you did not want a wrap payment on
3	MS. KEYSER: Understood.
4	Perfect.
5	MS. COOPER:you would get
6	zero pay.
7	MS. KEYSER: Okay. That's us
8	saying, again, we don't want a wrap. Understand.
9	Okay.
10	MS. COOPER: Yes. So, it would
11	work with any code.
12	MS. KEYSER: That would be
13	wonderful.
14	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Chairman
15	Keyser, if I could, I'd like to introduce Genevieve
16	Brown, my Chief of Staff. Genevieve, this is the
17	Primary Care TAC. Would you all mind introducing
18	yourselves just so she'll have a name and a face.
19	(INTRODUCTIONS)
20	COMMISSIONER STECKEL:
21	Excellent. There are some issues about we were
22	talking about the thirty-day and I asked that they
23	reach out to you if they have any issues, but we
24	resolved that that's a HRSA issue, not our issue, but

I wanted them to put a face to a name and for you to

1	put a face to a name.
2	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
3	MS. KEYSER: We do. We really
4	appreciate that. That helps us.
5	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So, you
6	are welcome to stay.
7	MS. BROWN: I'll stay.
8	MS. KEYSER: Thank you very
9	much. Was there any further discussion on our UB
10	modifier? Okay. We're going to get more on that by
11	November. Wonderful.
12	We'll move on to New Business,
13	then. Sharley and the Kentucky open meeting law
14	information.
15	MS. HUGHES: If you all had any
16	questions about it. I know I sent this out and,
17	then, I sent a followup on the emails because I had a
18	couple of TACs that were very concerned about agenda
19	items and so forth. Do you all have questions on any
20	of the open meeting laws?
21	MS. AGAN: No. It's pretty
22	self-explanatory.
23	MS. KEYSER: Yes. It was good
24	information.
25	MS. HUGHES: Okay. We just

you.

wanted to make sure that everybody got some information on it.

 $\mbox{MS. AGAN:} \mbox{ I thought it was} \\ \mbox{good from the standpoint of just a reminder.}$

MS. HUGHES: Right. And I'll probably actually just send a reminder of some sort out about every year, too, because new people come on the TACs and so forth.

MS. KEYSER: Absolutely. Thank

Any questions from the committee regarding DMS' response to the Primary Care TAC's recommendations to the MAC that were provided to us? This is in regard to our recommendation for the preventive pediatric health care for adult screening.

And, Commissioner, you all should have a letter dated August 23rd in regard to that response. We brought this to the MAC for consideration and I think the overlying or the impression is that they are important but that Kentucky Medicaid is not the correct route to require well-care visits, well-child visits for entering high school.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And if I

may, Madam Chair. We will pay for it if it happens. So, under EPSDT, it is something that will get reimbursed. It's just the mandatory component that you all recommended that we're not comfortable with.

MS. KEYSER: So, Noel, did the KPCA have any thoughts on this?

MR. HARILSON: Well, there was a suggestion, I think, made in the response. And, so, the KPCA may take another avenue based on the suggestion in the response of who to go to, maybe the Department of Education or someone like that. So, I think that's the direction that KPCA may take.

MS. KEYSER: Okay, because it was never a question of reimbursement. It was just a question of helping us to put that as an important process for getting parents to get their adolescents in. It's what we're struggling with as far as in meeting quality measures for that particular measure.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Sure.

And we don't disagree with that particularly, not only physical health but behavioral health.

And, so, if I may also - I know I'm taking up a lot of time - but we are doing a lot of work with KDE on free care and how do we make sure that we're maximizing Medicaid revenues in schools so

that they can free up their state money for children that are not Medicaid eligible. So, we're working with them on primary care. We're working with them on how do we get telehealth, how do we expand services in the schools. And I know primary care clinics have a lot of relationships and actually help those school systems in many areas.

So, we're excited about the new-found relationship we have with KDE and look forward to that. So, any way we can help. The goal is admirable. So, any way we can help shy of a mandate we're willing to help.

MS. KEYSER: Thank you. All right.

We will move on to Item C under New Business, moving the location of future Primary Care TAC meetings and, then, setting our 2020 dates. I think Noel has some information for the committee as far as the thought of having a different home for our meetings.

MR. HARILSON: So, with Sharley's information on open meetings and referring to specifically the KRS Chapter 61 where it comes to open meetings where it defines telehealth and being able to provide that, and, Commissioner, you have

1	actually shared with the committee as well and, then,
2	we had the most recent decision come down from the
3	Attorney General, or I guess opinion from the
4	Attorney General.
5	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: It
6	wasn't an opinion nor a decision.
7	MR. HARILSON: What's the
8	actual term then?
9	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: I don't
10	know what term
11	MR. HARILSON: Well, it was a
12	letter.
13	MS. HUGHES: Yes, it was a
14	letter but it was not an official opinion.
15	MR. HARILSON: It was a letter
16	in response. To be fair, I agree with that because I
17	know you shared that at the MAC meeting.
18	But there is a possibility.
19	KPCA, with our offices in Frankfort, are happy to
20	host this open meeting for a public agency. We have
21	the space and the room. We also have the technology
22	to be able to make sure that everyone can see
23	everyone in the room, that everyone can see everyone

And, so, it would just be

24

25

that is on video.

something the committee would discuss and move on whether or not that stays here at this building or----

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: But understand, then, I will not be attending and the leadership of DMS will not routinely be attending.

Just exactly like we could get Genevieve down here because she was just upstairs, when meetings are held off campus - I hear what you're saying about the telehealth capabilities - we are not going to be in a position where we could go somewhere else and that takes us half of a day, no matter what, no matter how close it is. It's just not something that we can rely on.

MR. HARILSON: So, just for point of clarification, I know that there's at least one other TAC that I attended that does not meet in the CHFS building.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER STECKEL:} \quad \mbox{There} \\ \mbox{are and we don't attend those TACs.}$

MR. HARILSON: So, I wanted to be clear because I know in the past that there had been and it's a fundamental change in that.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: It is entirely within your right to do that, but

understand, I would ask that you reconsider because this TAC--that TAC is important, too. The relationship and the work that we get done is so critically important. And I know it doesn't sound like a lot for us to pack up and come to your office, but if you want the ability to have more than just one representative from DMS, and we could go back to what I understand happened before and that's we'll have to get back with you.

That's not how I want these meetings to be. I'd like these meetings to be more let's make a decision where we can, let's have the people who are capable of making those decisions in the meeting. And in order to do that, it is more convenient for us to be here in this building.

MS. KEYSER: So, in the advanced notice of the 2020, the upcoming year's meeting dates, if we did decide to change to a different venue, are you saying that there would no likelihood of any representation from DMS or it would be spotty at best, depending on their schedules and things like that, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: It would be close to no likelihood.

1 MS. KEYSER: Okay. 2 MS. HUGHES: And if there's 3 concern about the equipment, the Therapy TAC had their meeting in here and they did video conference. 4 5 She just had her laptop and her projector and I'm 6 assuming she used something like Zoom on her laptop 7 and she was able to project it on that wall and 8 everybody could see. 9 MR. HARILSON: That was going 10 to be my next question because I don't think it's a fact that KPCA is pushing to host it. It was just 11 12 with a concern about the technology and the fact that 13 we do have that available at our offices. COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 14 understand. 15 16 MR. HARILSON: So, just so the 17 committee understands, it's not that I'm up here on behalf of KPCA saying we want to move. Please 18 19 consider that. It's just----20 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And 21 that's how we take it. 22 MR. HARILSON: ----with the 23 recent discussions over using the video conference 24 services for the TACs and being able to have quorum

and so forth, that there was an option there.

MS. KEYSER: So, do you think
that we would be able to meet the technology needs in
this space if we needed to as far as to establish a
quorum and things like that?

MR. HARILSON: I believe so.

Like Sharley was saying with that other TAC, we would be supplying that technology because I don't think----

 $\label{eq:MS.KEYSER:} \mbox{ The burden is on} \\ \mbox{us to bring it.}$

MR. HARILSON: The burden is on us to do so. So, I mean, I believe that is a strong possibility, and if we could do that. As long as we had the signal and everything was good and we could connect, I don't think that would be a problem.

MS. KEYSER: Is there some technology resource that if looking at this, because you don't want to find out the day of. You bring everything and it doesn't work. That if we wanted to continue meeting here and prepare for a likelihood that we needed to do a tele meeting and that type of thing, that we could check that out, come and set up and do it instead of the day of the meeting and then you find out, oh, it doesn't work.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: We would

put whoever it is with KPCA that is going to come in
in touch with the telehealth people or the
technicians, whoever does that stuff
MS. HUGHES: The issue with
that is that even as employees, if we have an issue
with our computer at our desk, we have to call the
Help Desk. If they can't resolve it over the phone,
then, they put in a log
COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Which
that is not the optimal and I wish I could say for
you all to do it in your office and for us to come
and meet but
MS. GUICE: They can't get on
the internal network. Nobody from the outside can
get on the internal network.
COMMISSIONER STECKEL: It's not
the most optimal thing. I wish we could go to your
offices but I just know what our time is like and
multiply it times nine other TACs, ten other TACs.
MR. MARTIN: Is there a phone
line?
MS. HUGHES: There is a phone
line over that.
MS. KEYSER: A phone line is

1 MR. MARTIN: I know but we 2 could have a conference call, have them call in, right? MS. KEYSER: No. It has to be visual. 6 MR. HARILSON: Everyone in the 7 public agency has to be able to be seen and see. as Sharley was referring to with the projection or as we have with a screen at the building, if you're calling in from your home, your face is on that screen so everyone in the room can see that Barry 12 Martin is attending the meeting. 13 MS. KEYSER: So, is this 14 something that we want to have further discussion today on or, again, bring it back or do nothing today 15 16 as far as a change of venue? 17 MS. AGAN: I think we need to 18 evaluate what our options would be and then come 19 back. 20 MR. MARTIN: Two things. 21 thing is, by all means, we want your participation, 22 not only want it but we really need it and appreciate 23 it. When you're not here or your representatives,

it's very counterproductive for us.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

24

25

So, we want to make sure that

we keep that relationship going. So, if you will commit to be here, then, we want to commit to be here.

The next thing is the videoconferencing. We'll have to figure that out. We may have to bring a laptop.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HARILSON: I know that KPCA has all the technology to be able to make that happen.

MS. HUGHES: And, Noel, if you want to come down some day, I will be glad to meet you, but let me know so I can make sure the room is available and open and you can try to make sure that it would work with a laptop and your projector.

MS. KEYSER: The Chair does not disagree at all, absolutely. Again, I think the burden is on us as far as to meet the technology issue, if that becomes an issue, but we need to figure that out in advance and not be a last-minute kind of thing.

The other thing is setting the meeting dates for the 2020 dates. We will need the committee to act on approving the 2020 meeting dates and we are looking at two weeks prior to the scheduled MAC meeting. I believe that's what we have

traditionally set and everything.

MS. HUGHES: Did you all look at the dates that I sent you because I think I sent out a calendar for all the TAC members with proposed dates.

What we're trying to do, that two weeks prior to the MAC has about six TACs that want to meet in a three-day period, six to eight TACs. So, what I have done is I have tried to keep everybody on the same day but maybe move you to the week before or the week after just so that we're not having two or three TAC meetings at a time on the same day or two or three TAC meetings in a day.

MS. KEYSER: And, Sharley, I'm going to tell you that I don't think I can recall what you had set up or proposed for the TAC. Noel, do you?

MR. HARILSON: Yes. The date that Sharley proposed for the Primary Care TAC was bumping it up a week to where it's a week prior to MAC as opposed to the traditional two weeks that we have prior to MAC. I don't have a historical precedence to know the significance of two weeks prior to MAC. I'm sure David could speak to it if he had been here.

MR. MARTIN: Any
recommendations that we propose, we could get them
ready
MS. KEYSER: I mean, that would
be the work. It's just that in preparation for that
meeting, that we have the opportunity to develop what
those recommendations are, get them approved the week
before.
MS. HUGHES: Well, those have
to be developed and approved at the meeting. You
cannot do those in an email.
MR. HARILSON: But as far as
write-ups.
MS. KEYSER: No. I was not
implying that at all.
MR. MARTIN: Just I guess
writing them up and having them ready for the MAC.
MS. KEYSER: No. During the
meeting, we all put them on a sheet of paper here.
And, so, it's preparing what that looks like for the
presentation to the MAC. As the who is going to be
sitting in front of the MAC, I don't want to be
looking at little things like my little notes here.
I want to understand.

So, that's what we're referring

to is that the committee is going to make the recommendations on our normally scheduled day. And if it's a week in advance, the work, then, is that I am prepared to give this presentation to the MAC within a week as opposed to two weeks and, again, as the person who traditionally or at this moment is the one looking at my schedule and doing that as well.

So, are we wanting to make the change to a week before the MAC?

MS. AGAN: So, I understand we had the option to go one week before the MAC or three weeks before the MAC.

MR. HARILSON: So, just for point of clarification. The committee sets the dates. Sharley recommended that for several reasons but the committee sets the dates. And, so, the committee could say anytime.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: But, again, you bump up against can we be there or not.

MR. HARILSON: Sure, but I just wanted to make sure the committee does understand that the committee sets the dates.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: You are exactly right. You're exactly right.

MS. HUGHES: In January of last

year when we merged it and put it altogether and me being the liaison for each of the TACs, we had a couple that were meeting at the same time on the same days and I had to ask them to move.

And, so, what I was doing was just trying to propose some dates for each of the TACs and send them out well in advance because normally you all would not even set these until November to just try to keep everybody on the same day because I know a lot of times, that's your preference, but just maybe move if there was more than one per day.

MR. HARILSON: I would also say to the Chair that just as Sharley said, we normally wouldn't do these until November. Of course, there could be new TAC members at that November meeting to set for their committee.

MS. KEYSER: Noel is correct on that because there will be the likelihood that some on this committee will be rotating off because at the annual KPCA meeting, committee assignments will be taking place and board members are going off, that type of thing.

So, we could be looking at a different group of people who might have a different

1	flavor as far as what's going to work and be best for
2	this committee.
3	So, I guess I'm feeling that
4	for right now, we meet again November 7th
5	MR. HARILSON: Or the TAC meets
6	again November 7th. We may not be.
7	MS. KEYSER: No, no.
8	Absolutely. Absolutely. And, so, this committee can
9	certainly decide to have the new committee which will
10	be in place in January to decide going further
11	because they will be the new committee. Any thoughts
12	on that? Does that sound okay?
13	MS. AGAN: I think that's a
14	great idea.
15	MS. KEYSER: Okay. So, then,
16	we won't have any action as far as on making a
17	decision today on that. We will leave that up to at
18	the November meeting.
19	MR. HARILSON: We'll move it to
20	Old Business.
21	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And,
22	Noel, I think you got from Sharley the calendar of
23	all of the TAC meetings.
24	MR. HARILSON: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So, if

you all could just take into account we have an agency to run, too. So, the goal is not to have two TAC meetings on the same day, not to have a whole week before the MAC meeting taken up with TAC meetings or the two weeks before the MAC meeting.

So, if you all could kind of, as you consider your dates, look at those and help us with that, we would be very appreciative, again, back to the statement, this is an important TAC for us and we would very much like to be able to be involved at all levels of the agency.

MS. KEYSER: Thank you.

MS. COOPER: Commissioner, is there a requirement that the recommendations to the MAC have to be submitted "x" amount of days before the MAC meeting?

MS. HUGHES: No. What we've got is that to allow me to have time to make copies and stuff for the MAC, I do prefer that we get it like by noon on Monday before the MAC, but that's for me to get the copies, get them in the folders for each of the MAC members. If they're not there, then, whoever comes to the MAC, they just bring twenty copies for me to pass out to them at that time.

MR. MARTIN: Going back, as

1 long as we have a commitment from the Commissioner's 2 Office that they will be there, make every attempt, 3 we want to make every attempt to have that opportunity. Thank you, Barry. MS. KEYSER: 6 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: You have that commitment. MS. KEYSER: Wonderful. COMMISSIONER STECKEL: I think I have been at almost all of them but I will 10 reiterate that committee. 11 12 MR. MARTIN: In years past, 13 we've had very low attendance by the Commissioner and 14 appropriate representatives. So, we want to make every accommodation to have that happen. We just 15 16 don't want to make special attempts on our side and 17 there not be that intent on your side. COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 18 And I 19 hope no one goes out of this room thinking that we're 20 not recognizing, that I'm not recognizing the value 21 of your time either. I do recognize that. 22 So, I know we're asking a lot

4

5

7

8

9

23

24

25

also know the value of being able to call people down

to say can you accommodate us by being here, but I

and not having to go somewhere for four hours of

their day.

So, if we could somehow work all of that out, you have my commitment that not just me but the appropriate staff members. I want this to be a true policy discussion, and we may talk about things that we haven't made a decision on. So, to have the right people that could talk about that is important. So, yes, you have my commitment.

MS. KEYSER: Thank you,

Commissioner.

Moving on, copay issue with pregnant women. Mary, can you enlighten us a little bit about what's going on there?

MS. ELAM: Sure. So, it's my understanding and the example that I have seen that pregnant women----

MR. HARILSON: Mary, I'm sorry.

Can you come to the table so we can hear you better?

MS. ELAM: Pregnant women are

being assessed a copay on KYMMIS website. The

eligibility shows that they owe a copay which

eventually comes out of the wrap, the \$3 copay. And

I understand that they are required to report to

their local DCBS office to have that indicator

changed to show that they're pregnant instead of

picking that up on the claim detail.

MS. GUICE: Correct. It's an eligibility issue for Medicaid. Pregnant women get a whole different set of eligibility rules applied to them. In order for that to apply, they've got to get the information into the eligibility system.

So, they can go, they can call, they can use a self-service portal. Your Assistors can probably help them do that or Certified Application Counselors I think is the name, but there's no way around that.

MS. KEYSER: So, the complication for us, Mary, if I'm following this is that we're following what's on KYMMIS.

MS. ELAM: Correct.

MS. KEYSER: It says we can collect a copay and we collect a copay and, then, they after that fact come in and report that they're pregnant. We've collected a copay. It's subtracted from the PPS rate and, then, we have to do a whole reversal?

MS. ELAM: Well, you're going to owe the member. Eventually that \$3 is going to be sitting there where the member didn't really owe that.

MS. GUICE: Yeah, but they did. At that point in time, they did owe it. If I don't do what I'm supposed to do as the Medicaid member, as the pregnant woman, then, I owe the copay. It's not about what you think they might owe. It's about my responsibility to go and tell the DCBS office that I'm pregnant so that my rules can change. Until my rules change based on me, I owe the copay.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And there are a lot of ways that can happen shy of someone going to a DCBS office.

MS. GUICE: Right.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Work with your Application Assistors, have the phone number that you give to the woman that says please report that you're pregnant. There's a lot that can be done online, on the phone or them going in if that's what they want to do.

MS. GUICE: Right.

MS. KEYSER: But if we collect it, then, I get to keep that \$3 until they make it official through the system and it reflects on KYMMIS.

MS. ELAM: That's what I hear.

MS. AGAN: Does it retro back?

1	MS. ELAM: I'm not sure.
2	MS. GUICE: Does what retro
3	back?
4	MS. AGAN: If we saw them on
5	the 1st and they didn't report it until the 10th of
6	the month, does it retro back to the
7	MS. GUICE: No, it does not
8	retro back.
9	MS. AGAN: They owe that.
10	MS. GUICE: It does not retro
11	back.
12	MS. AGAN: It's from their
13	report.
14	MS. GUICE: It does not retro
15	back, correct.
16	MS. KEYSER: So, is that
17	information that maybe KPCA can send out to our group
18	just to clarify that to us?
19	MR. HARILSON: Yes. We can
20	share it in several different venues - IPA webinar,
21	emails. We can share it in several different venues.
22	MS. KEYSER: And as you said,
23	there are a lot of resources again to make the member
24	aware. Again, if you don't want this obligation,
25	then, you need to go and do your part which is

notifying, etcetera, etcetera.

we've made it easy to do that. I could understand the difficulty if we were saying they had to go to the DCBS office. I understand that, but if they can pick up the phone, if your Application Assistors that are in your operations can do it, if they can go online, and I would imagine a lot of your operations have kiosks or computers available. So, it is not a challenge for these women to do this.

MR. HARILSON: Can I ask a question? So, has the Department sent communication out in this respect?

one of these clinics goes to the Health Department and finds out that they're pregnant from the Health Department, are the Health Departments aware to give this education to the member to call and report because it may not be the clinic that actually is the one seeing that member and passing that information out.

MS. GUICE: Correct. This rule has been in place for a long time.

MR. HARILSON: Is it going out in like the member documentation that you all send

when they get Medicaid as far as a member manual or anything like that that they get?

MS. GUICE: That I don't know because I have not read that.

MR. MARTIN: I'm sure that they probably don't know if the Health Department is relaying this information to them or not.

MR. HARILSON: Well, I guess my question was does the Health Department know that they should be?

MS. GUICE: Okay. We tell all the members all the time one critical piece of information. Any change in your circumstances must be reported to us - any change. Do people understand that that means if they get pregnant? I give up. So, sometimes they do and sometimes they don't, but this isn't a change in the rules. And certainly, though, if it's a big problem, we can send out some communication but I don't know who to send it to.

MR. HARILSON: Well, that's okay. I was just curious because we actually have a relationship with the Kentucky Health Department Association and we can work with Alison Adams and that group to make sure that they share it within their own organizations, hey, just a reminder, this

is the deal. Please make sure that you're letting them know.

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: And they have Assistors in the Health Departments, too, and at that point in time, they can say to the pregnant woman, if you do this now, you won't have to pay a \$3 copay when you go see your provider, whoever your health care provider is.

Now, also, we have started developing a relationship with the Association and are doing a lot of work with the Public Health Department. So, we will raise this as an issue also. That's a very good point with both organizations, and if you will do the same. As Lee says, it's not a change in policy; but if we're not taking advantage of notifying the pregnant woman that at the point when she's told she's pregnant.

MS. KEYSER: And I would say, too, that not all pregnant patients that we see, they may come in for a primary care visit and not a prenatal-related visit and they may not look pregnant or anything. And, so, how they are approached by the staff to say, oh, KYMMIS says you owe \$3 and----

COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Isn't that the universal rule - you never ask a woman if

she's pregnant? 1 2 MS. KEYSER: Exactly. 3 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: If you all will work on it, we will work on it from our end, 4 5 too, with the Public Health Department and with the 6 Association. 7 MR. HARILSON: Sure. And, 8 Chair, if it's okay, for the MCOs that are here, if 9 there's anything out there that the MCOs could do to 10 their membership as far as education to let them know that as well, I guess that might be something that 11 12 the committee would like to ask as well. 13 MS. KEYSER: I would say so. 14 MS. GUICE: I was going to 15 mention that most pregnant women are members of an 16 MCO, enrolled in an MCO. So, I think that they can get some assistance that way as well. 17 18 MS. KEYSER: Thank you. Moving 19 on, adding a G0511 to the DMS fee schedule. 20 Elam, are you going to cover this? 21 MS. ELAM: That's another 22 suggestion. We have mentioned this in the past, last 23 spring, I believe, when Teresa was still here and

Charles Douglass. That is the Medicare code for

chronic care management and the crossovers are not

24

configuring properly.

One of the MCOs is in the process of adding all of the "G" codes to their fee schedule in order to allow those crossover claims to process correctly and that may be another option, but we do have several groups that are seeing an issue with that.

MR. HARILSON: I guess the point being as opposed to each MCO who could say yes or no, but if Medicaid would put those "G" codes - and it's not even that they have to have a rate assigned to them or paid but just so they're recognized when it comes----

MS. GUICE: Oh, no. We do have to have a rate.

MR. HARILSON: Well, I'm just saying whether it's a penny or whatever it is, that they're recognized.

MS. GUICE: Really? You want us to put a penny on there so that the MCOs will----

MR. HARILSON: No. I don't know the details of that but I guess the overall point would be that as opposed to the clinics, whether they're part of the Association or the IPA or not, we represent all FQs and all RHCs and we

1	recognize that not all are part of our Association
2	but we do represent them all. And for those that
3	have even direct contracts to try to go to an MCO and
4	ask them to configure a code onto their fee schedule
5	to remedy this situation could be more difficult.
6	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: So,
7	what's the code?
8	MS. GUICE: I'm sorry. I
9	understood that Charles explained it to you. No?
10	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: He
11	probably did.
12	MS. HUGHES: He talked to me a
13	little bit and he was going to get back with some
14	more information.
15	MS. GUICE: Generally speaking,
16	I can say this, it has not been our practice to add
17	"G" codes even from Medicare because they're "G"
18	codes.
19	COMMISSIONER STECKEL: I know
20	Charles did talk to me about this and it is totally
21	escaping my mind now between everything that has
22	happened this month.
23	So, can we take this back and
24	look at it again and see?

MS. GUICE: And I apologize for

1 that. 2 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: 3 it's not your fault. It's not your fault. So, we'll look at this and get back with you on November 7th. 4 5 MS. KEYSER: Mary, this is causing a problem on the crossovers? 6 7 MS. ELAM: Correct. So, the "G" code is denying and it's coming to DMS as an 8 9 encounter with a zero or a denied claim rather. 10 MR. HARILSON: So, can I ask those of you that are FQs and RHCs, it is a 11 12 requirement that the "G" code is on the claim. 13 MS. KEYSER: Travels with the 14 claim, yes. MR. HARILSON: So, they can't 15 16 not put it on there. It has to be put on there. MS. GUICE: It's a Medicare 17 requirement. 18 19 MS. KEYSER: Yes. 20 MS. AGAN: So, it's very 21 similar to the problem when Medicare introduced the 22 "G" codes several years ago, and, then, when they moves over to the MCOs, they don't recognize it and 23 you can get a whole accommodation of denials and 24

rejections and they're not consistent. And, so, it

1 just kind of -- it gets stuck there and you're fighting 2 a battle that you can't win. 3 COMMISSIONER STECKEL: Okay. So, we'll get on top of this and be able to respond 4 5 by November 7th. 6 MS. KEYSER: Moving on, the 7 Chair needs to ask for for representation at the MAC 8 meeting on September 26th. I will not be able to 9 attend, and, so, I need to ask the committee, is there someone who can give our report? Mr. Martin, 10 you're considering? 11 12 MS. HUGHES: Are you going to 13 have recommendations? 14 MS. KEYSER: No. Representation at the MAC meeting, I will not be able 15 to be there and we would like to have someone from 16 17 the Primary Care TAC, if at all possible. Now, if this committee cannot send somebody, then, we will 18 19 notify you there will not be anybody attending. 20 MS. HUGHES: I was just going 21 say if there's no recommendations, there's not a 22 requirement that you make a presentation.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ KEYSER: But I think also we would like to report to the MAC that we did meet and we did have some discussion and a very brief

23

24

1 synopsis. We're a part of this process. 2 MS. HUGHES: Yes. 3 MS. KEYSER: Noel, do I have to 4 have----5 MR. HARILSON: No. I don't. 6 think it's an action item. It's just a point of 7 discussion and it had to be on the agenda for us to 8 even discuss it. So, it was a point of discussion. 9 And as long as someone is there because it can no longer be someone from the Association. It has to be 10 someone from the TAC. 11 12 MR. MARTIN: And we've been 13 under that assumption for the last couple of 14 meetings. 15 MS. KEYSER: All right. 16 will figure that out afterwards, then. 17 We will move on to any updates or announcements from our MCOs that are present, and 18 19 I see Pat foremost. So, WellCare, anything for the 20 committee? 21 MS. RUSSELL: Not at this point 22 in time. It's business as usual getting ready for 23 open enrollment. 24 MS. KEYSER: Thank you. And

25

Aetna.

1	MS. ASHER: Sammie Asher. I'm
2	actually newly taking over KPCA and some other IPAs.
3	New, we are getting ready to head right into open
4	enrollment and at the DMS forums, we will be present
5	for any questions. We'll have a table there and some
6	folks to help out.
7	The "G" codes, we are working
8	with KPCA on the "G" codes to get those added not as
9	a payable code but as an approved code so it will
10	come over as zero. So, we're trying to tweak the
11	system to do that. That's it as far as
12	announcements.
13	MS. KEYSER: Thank you so much.
13 14	MS. KEYSER: Thank you so much. And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem,
14	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem,
14 15	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport.
14 15 16	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business
14151617	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business to come before this committee, then, a motion to
14 15 16 17	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business to come before this committee, then, a motion to adjourn.
14 15 16 17 18	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business to come before this committee, then, a motion to adjourn. MR. MARTIN: I make a motion to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business to come before this committee, then, a motion to adjourn. MR. MARTIN: I make a motion to adjourn.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And I do not believe that we have anyone from Anthem, Humana or Passport. If there is no other business to come before this committee, then, a motion to adjourn. MR. MARTIN: I make a motion to adjourn. DR. BISHNOI: Second.

MEETING ADJOURNED